

Table 3F-4. Summary Comparison of Effects of the Alternatives: Wildlife

Alternative or Condition	Potential Gull Nesting Capacity (Thousands)	Invertebrate Food for Water Birds	Phalarope Distribution at Mono Lake	Potential Habitat for Migratory Ducks	Potential Snowy Plover Nesting Habitat	Wildlife Habitat Values of Mono Lake Shoreline Vegetation	Wildlife Habitat Values of Tributary Streams
Point of reference	34-38	Moderate	At threshold	Low	High	Moderate	Low
No restriction	6 ^{a,c}	Low or nonexistent ^{a,c}	Restricted or absent ^c	Absent ^{a,c}	Low ^a	Low or none ^a	None ^{a,c}
6,372 Ft	29-31 ^{a,c}	Low ^{a,c}	Restricted ^c	Low ^c	High	Moderate	Moderate ^c
6,377 Ft	156-160 ^b	Moderate ^{b,d}	Sometimes restricted ^{b,d}	Low ^c	High	Moderate	Moderate ^c
6,383.5 Ft	132	High	Widespread	Moderate ^c	High	Moderate	Moderately high ^c
6,390 Ft	111	High	Widespread	Moderate ^c	High	Moderately low ^a	Moderately high ^c
6,410 Ft	89	Unknown	Unknown	High	Moderate	Low ^a	Moderately high ^c
No diversion	85	Unknown	Unknown	High	Low ^a	Low ^a	Moderately high ^c
Prediversion	85-89	Unknown	Unknown	High	Unknown	Low	High

 Significant adverse effects:

^a project impact.

^b project drought impact.

^c cumulative impact.

^d potential cumulative impact.